





Intercomparison of absorption photometer Project No.: AP-2019-1-12

Basic informations:

Location of the quality assurance: TROPOS, Lab 121

Date: 21 January - 25 January 2019

Principal Investi-	Home Institution	Participant	Instrument
gator			
V. Dudoitis	FTMC	V. Dudoitis	0827:0710

1 Intercomparison summary

Status on arrival

No issues due to transportation or other damages.

Flow calibration

The flow meter of the instrument is set to report flow for conditions of $20\,^{\circ}\text{C}$ and $1013.25\,\text{hPa}$. The flow was $5.2\,\%$ too low compared to reference flow meter (TSI 4100). Corrections for the flow deviation and the temperature and pressure (STP correction) were considered in the data evaluation.

Noise

The noise level of the instrument is in the normal range. The average noise (1σ) for the all wavelengths was less eqal $20\,\mathrm{ng\,m^{-3}}$ for two minute averaging time. The background level was acceptable with deviations of less equal $6\,\mathrm{ng\,m^{-3}}$ for all wavelengths.

Inspection

The measuring cell was slightly contaminated with dust and was cleaned.

Comparison to reference MAAP

BC concentrations at 880 nm (BC6) of AE31 are $36.1\,\%$ higher than BC concentrations from a reference MAAP.

Comparison to reference AE33

The deviations of BC concentrations relative to the reference AE33 are in the range of -5.3 to $0.5\,\%$.

Comparison to reference absorption

The deviations of the absorption coefficients derived from AE31 relative to the absorption coefficients from the multi-wavelength absorption reference setup are in the range of -7.6 to -2.8%.

Recommendations

No recommendations.

Overall assessment

The instrument meets the requirements.

2 Details

Configuration parameters

```
Created: 22.01.2019 11:21:47

Instrument serial number: 827

Software version: 985bg
Instrument type (0...U (IX), 1..UV+LED (2X), 2..7xLED (3X)): 2

Instrument type (0...U (IX), 1..UV+LED (2X), 2..7xLED (3X)): 2

Instrument Chassis: Stationary
Smoothing factor: 0

Selected Pump Flow: 5.0 LPM
Plow scale factor: 1.91 LPM/V
Date format (0.US, 1=EU): 1

Tape saver: 0

Spot sper advance: 1

Filter change interval: 0

Maximum attenuation: 120

Over olid data: 1

Warm up wait: 0

Serial comm. mode (1..OFF, 2..Dataline, 3..Gesytec): 2

Serial communication parameters:

Speed(bps): 9600

Data bits: 8

Parity bits: N

Stop bits: 1

Gesytec parameters:

Network Scale Factor: 10

Instrument ID for Gesytec:333

Dataline parameters:

Alarm mode (0..Analog out, 1..Alarm): 0

Alarm mode (0..Analog out, 1..Compressed): 0

...U channel OFF (0..UV ch. ON, 1..UV ch. OFF): 0

...Sigma values:

Sigma 1: 30.5

Sigma 1: 3.1.

Sigma a: 28.1

Sigma 4: 24.8

Sigma 5: 22.2

Sigma 6: 16.6

Sigma 7: 15.4

Volumetric unit settings:

Volumetric unit settings:
```

Flow check

Table 1: Correction factors F_{flow} and F_{STP} for correcting eBC concentrations. F_{flow} corrects for inlet flow errors considering leakage. F_{STP} is used to adjust concentrations to STP conditions (0 °C, 1013.25 hPa).

System	flow and	reference	Measured	F_{flow}	F_{STP}
Q_{AE31}	$T_{0,AE31}$	$p_{0,AE31}$	flow Q		
[slpm]	$[^{\circ}C]$	[hPa]	[slpm]		
3.94	20	1013.25	3.76	1.052	1.073

Spot size check

Table 2: Correction factor for spot sizes F_{spot} .

Nominal spot size [cm ²]	Measured spot size $[cm^2]$	F_{spot}
-	Well defined spot, spot size not measured	1.0

Instrumental Noise

Table 3: Noise parameters of AE31 (0827:0710) measured with filtered air.

Wavelength [nm]	Number of data points	$\begin{array}{c} {\rm Median} \\ {\rm [ngm^{-3}]} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 10 th \\ percentile \\ [ng m^{-3}] \end{array}$	90th percentile $[\text{ng m}^{-3}]$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Mean} \\ [\text{ng m}^{-3}] \end{array}$	Std. dev. $[ng m^{-3}]$	Error of mean $[ng m^{-3}]$
370	116	1	-15	25	3	17	2
470	116	1	-13	21	3	12	1
520	116	0	-18	16	1	14	1
590	116	0	-24	25	-1	19	2
660	116	-6	-28	24	-3	20	2
880	116	-1	-12	11	0	11	1
950	116	1	-10	12	1	9	1



Figure 1: New spot from AE31 (0827:0710) on filter tape.

Comparison to reference MAAP

Table 4: Correlation parameter of eBC coefficient (BC6) from AE31 (0827:0710) ($C_0 = 3.5$) and reference MAAP after inspection.

Wavelength [nm]	Slope	Error	R^2
880	1.361	0.024	0.977




Figure 2: Correlation of eBC coefficient (BC6) from AE31 (0827:0710) and reference MAAP.

Comparison to reference AE33

Table 5: Correlation parameter of eBC coefficients from AE31 (0827:0710) (k=0.002) and reference AE33 after inspection.

Wavelength	Slope	Error	R^2
[nm]			
370	1.005	0.009	0.994
470	0.962	0.006	0.997
520	0.959	0.006	0.997
590	0.965	0.006	0.997
660	0.976	0.008	0.995
880	0.975	0.008	0.995
950	0.947	0.027	0.938




Figure 3: Correlation of eBC coefficient (BC6) from AE31 (0827:0710) and reference AE33.

Comparison to multi-wavelength absorption

Table 6: Correlation parameter of absorption from AE31 (0827:0710) ($k=0.002,\,C_0=3.5$) and the multi-wavelength absorption reference after inspection.

Wavelength [nm]	Slope	Error	R^2
470	0.946	0.007	0.996
520	0.972	0.005	0.998
660	0.924	0.007	0.995




Figure 4: Correlation of absorption from AE31 (0827:0710) and the multi-wavelength absorption reference at $660\,\mathrm{nm}$.