

Intercomparison of Mobility Particle Size Spectrometers

Project No.: **MPSS-2018-6-4**

Principal Investigator: **Jason Southgate, Ian Marshall**

Home Institution: **Ricardo Energy & Environment, Particle Measurement Center**

Participant: **online**

Candidate: **UK-Ricardo Energy**
Made by: **TSI**
Counter (SN): **Classifier 3082001806002, CPC 3750 SN3750180601**
Software: **CPC Firmware: 1.0.7; AIM: 10.3**

Location of the quality assurance: **TROPOS Leipzig, lab 118**

Comparison period: **September 17, 2018 – September 21, 2018**

Last Intercomparison (with Project No.):

Summary of Intercomparison:

Pre-Status:

The candidate from UK-Ricardo Energy & Environment participated in the ACTRIS workshop from September 17, 2018 to September 21, 2018 with the participant. On Monday, September 17th, the setup was done in the TROPOS Lab 118. The candidate was running under the same settings as in their station and with a Kr.85 source. The performance of the candidate showed a concentration 17% lower than the TROPOS Reference Instrument No.1. The candidate did not pass the standards of ACTRIS and GAW.


Final-Status:

The final run took place from Sep. 19 to Sep. 20, 2018 with Kr.85 and Sep. 20 to Sep. 21, 2018 with X-Ray. Running the candidate using the original source TSI X-ray the performance showed a concentration 23% lower than the TROPOS Reference Instrument No.1. With Kr.85 the candidate showed a concentration 22% lower than the TROPOS Reference Instrument No.1. Before the final run the column was cleaned and the CPC was checked again. There were no problems visible. Nevertheless, the candidate did not pass the standards of ACTRIS and GAW. TROPOS highly recommends Ricardo Energy & Environment to send the system for maintenance back to TSI and apply for another workshop in 2019.

Information about the instruments:**Date of check: September 17, 2018**

<i>List of Components</i>		TROPOS Reference MPSS No.1	Candidate
<i>Position</i>		Line 1.2	Line 1.6
<i>Company</i>		TROPOS	TSI
<i>Software</i>		TROPOS V6.68	TSI with AIM 10.3
<i>CPC-MPSS</i>		TSI CPC, Model 3772	TSI 3750 with Firmware 1.0.7
<i>CPC-total</i>		TSI CPC, Model 3010	-
<i>flow ratio</i>		1.0 : 5.0	10 : 1.0
<i>source</i>		Kr.85	Kr.85
<i>HV power supply</i>		Positive	Positive
<i>DMA</i>		Hauke medium	TSI 3082
<i>aerosol dryer</i>		✓	-
<i>aerosol RH- sensor</i>		✓	-
<i>aerosol T-sensor</i>		✓	-
<i>sheath RH-sensor</i>		✓	-
<i>sheath T-sensor</i>		✓	-
<i>Sheath dryer</i>		✓	-
<i>pressure sensor</i>		✓	✓
<i>info</i>			Impactor 0.071

Laboratory setup:



Status of the instruments:

Date of system checks:

<i>date</i>	17.09.2018		unit
<i>total CPC flow</i>	-	-	l/min
<i>aerosol flow (total)</i>	0.959	-	l/min
<i>Zero MPSS</i>	0	-	#/cm ³
<i>Zero total CPC</i>	-	-	#/cm ³
<i>PSL 203 nm</i>	no data	-	nm

<i>HV check</i>	17.09.2018		unit
4.3	4.7		V
9.3	9.7		V
499.2	499.8		V
999.3	999.8		V

Special Information regarding the Candidate - Date of check: September 17, 2018

<i>Was it necessary to:</i>	yes/no (date)	old part (ID/SN)	new part (ID/SN)	information
<i>clean the aerosol inlet</i>	no	-	-	checked
<i>change aerosol Nafion dryer</i>	no	-	-	-
<i>change sheath Nafion dryer</i>	no	-	-	-
<i>check source</i>	no	-	-	Changed to see differences
<i>change HV power supply</i>	no	-	-	checked
<i>clean/change DMA</i>	no	-	-	Checked/cleaned
<i>change aerosol RH/T-sensor</i>	no	-	-	-
<i>change sheath RH/T-sensor</i>	no	-	-	-
<i>change pressure sensor</i>	no	-	-	-
<i>change inlet Nafion dryer (500)</i>	no	-	-	-
<i>Change Total filter</i>	-	-	-	-
<i>NI-card</i>	no	-	-	-

PSL Scan and calibration: Latex 203 nm \pm 4 nm




Figure 01: Measurement of latex 203 nm - Reference MPSS No.1: Particle size distribution (raw concentration) for latex 203 nm on September 17th 2018.

Pre-Status Sept. 17 – 18, 2018 with Kr.85: Time Series, Particle Number Size Distribution and Correlation




Figure 02: Time series (September 17, 2018 8 PM – September 18, 2018 6 AM) of the integrated particle number concentration ($N_{10-800\text{nm}}/N_{7-300\text{nm}}$) of the MPSS and total number concentration (N_{total}) of the Reference TSI-CPC Model 3010. Multiple charge correction, internal diffusion losses and CPC flow corrections are included. The candidate is running with the Kr.85 source.




Figure 03: Comparison of mean particle number size distribution of TROPOS Reference MPSS No.1 against UK-Ricardo Energy & Environment from September 17, 2018 8 PM – September 18, 2018 6 AM. Multiple charge correction, internal diffusion losses and CPC efficiency are included in different steps.




Figure 04: Linear regression between the number concentrations of the TROPOS Reference TSI T-CPC Model 3010 and TROPOS Reference MPSS No.1. Multiple charge correction, internal diffusion losses and CPC efficiency are included.




Figure 05: Linear regression between the number concentrations of the TROPOS Reference TSI T-CPC Model 3010 and UK-Ricardo Energy & Environment. Multiple charge correction, internal diffusion losses and CPC efficiency are included.




Figure 06: Linear regression between the number concentrations of the TROPOS Reference MPSS No.1 and UK-Ricardo Energy & Environment. Multiple charge correction, internal diffusion losses and CPC efficiency are included.

Status Sept. 18 – 19, 2018 with Kr.85: Time Series, Particle Number Size Distribution and Correlation




Figure 07: Time series (September 18, 2018 8 PM – September 19, 2018 6 AM) of the integrated particle number concentration ($N_{10-800nm}/N_{10-600nm}$) of the MPSS and total number concentration (N_{total}) of the Reference TSI-CPC Model 3010. Multiple charge correction, internal diffusion losses and CPC flow corrections are included. The candidate is running with the Kr.85 source.




Figure 08: Comparison of mean particle number size distribution of TROPOS Reference MPSS No.1 against UK-Ricardo Energy & Environment from September 18, 2018 8 PM – September 19, 2018 6 AM. Multiple charge correction, internal diffusion losses and CPC efficiency are included in different steps.




Figure 09: Linear regression between the number concentrations of the TROPOS Reference MPSS No.1 and UK-Ricardo Energy & Environment. Multiple charge correction, internal diffusion losses and CPC efficiency are included.

Status Sept. 19 – 20, 2018 with Kr.85: Time Series, Particle Number Size Distribution and Correlation




Figure 10: Time series (September 19, 2018 8 PM – September 20, 2018 6 AM) of the integrated particle number concentration ($N_{10-800nm}/N_{7-300nm}$) of the MPSS and total number concentration (N_{total}) of the Reference TSI-CPC Model 3010. Multiple charge correction, internal diffusion losses and CPC flow corrections are included. The candidate is running with the Kr.85 source.




Figure 11: Comparison of mean particle number size distribution of TROPOS Reference MPSS No.1 against UK-Ricardo Energy & Environment from September 19, 2018 8 PM – September 20, 2018 6 AM. Multiple charge correction, internal diffusion losses and CPC efficiency are included in different steps.




Figure 12: Linear regression between the number concentrations of the TROPOS Reference MPSS No.1 and UK-Ricardo Energy & Environment. Multiple charge correction, internal diffusion losses and CPC efficiency are included.

Final-Status Sept. 20 – 21, 2018 with X-ray: Time Series, Particle Number Size Distribution and Correlation




Figure 13: Time series (September 20, 2018 8 PM – September 21, 2018 6 AM) of the integrated particle number concentration ($N_{10-800nm}/N_{12-600nm}$) of the MPSS and total number concentration (N_{total}) of the Reference TSI-CPC Model 3010. Multiple charge correction, internal diffusion losses and CPC flow corrections are included. The candidate is running with the TSI X-ray source.




Figure 14: Comparison of mean particle number size distribution of TROPOS Reference MPSS No.1 against UK-Ricardo Energy & Environment from September 20, 2018 8 PM – September 21, 2018 6 AM. Multiple charge correction, internal diffusion losses and CPC efficiency are included in different steps.




Figure 15: Linear regression between the number concentrations of the TROPOS Reference TSI T-CPC Model 3010 and TROPOS Reference MPSS No.1. Multiple charge correction, internal diffusion losses and CPC efficiency are included.




Figure 16: Linear regression between the number concentrations of the TROPOS Reference TSI T-CPC Model 3010 and UK-Ricardo Energy & Environment. Multiple charge correction, internal diffusion losses and CPC efficiency are included.




Figure 17: Linear regression between the number concentrations of the TROPOS Reference MPSS No.1 and UK-Ricardo Energy & Environment. Multiple charge correction, internal diffusion losses and CPC efficiency are included.